

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,959	06/27/2003	David F. Nicoli	034515-001	4840
21839	7590 11/09/2005		EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC			WALLENHORST, MAUREEN	
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			1743	
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/00/2009	e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>-</u>	
10/606,959	NICOLI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743		

	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in t	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. Ir
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136/a) and the appropris	to avtancian foo
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-77</u> .		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		·	
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will no vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			ice because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:			
No. □ Other	Junes M. Wallenh	oist	
	MAUREEN M. WALLENHORST		-b4
	PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1000	Maureen M. Waller Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743	INOTSI

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation of detecting an increase in the rate of particle agglomeration as a measure of the stability of a dispersion is a new issue not previously considered or searched.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the references to Garver et al and Nicoli et al fail to teach of detecting an increase in the rate of particle agglomeration as a measure of the stability of a dispersion or emulsion. In resposne to this argument, it is noted that this amendment represents a new issue not previously considered before, as noted above, However, it is noted that "rate" is defined as the quantity, amount or degree of something measured per unit of something else. Figure 4 in the Nicoli et al reference measures the rate of particle agglomeration in a fat emulsion since this figure measures the concentration of different sizes of particles in a fat emulsion per unit time (i.e. as a function of time). Rate inherently refers to how fast something occurs over time. Nicoli et al teach of measuring the stability of emulsions and dispersions, and stability is a measure of how long a composition remains resistant to chemical change. According to the reference to Parfitt submitted in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on October 21, 2005, the stability of a colloidal dispersion is defined as no change in the total number of particles in the dispersion with time. The total number of particles in the dispersion with time. The total number of particles in the dispersion can change over time by particle agglomeration or flocculation. Therefore, the stability of a dispersion or emulsion is inherently measured over time. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to measure the rate of particle agglomeration, as disclosed by Nicoli et al feach of measuring the stability of dispersions as a function of time by measuring particle agglomeration, and the stability of a composition is inherently measured over an extended period of time.